



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,217	10/15/2003	Issei Yoshida	JP920020132US1	9470
45112	7590	09/12/2008	EXAMINER	
Kunzler & McKenzie			ADAMS, CHARLES D	
8 EAST BROADWAY				
SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/688,217	YOSHIDA, ISSEI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	CHARLES D. ADAMS	2164	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Charles D Adams. (3) Tyler Nield.  
 (2) David McKenzie. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 10 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Dehlinger, Kephart, Sakai.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative submitted proposed amendments to the claims. Examiner considered these proposed amendments in light of the cited references, and informed Applicant's representatives that the proposed amendments, if filed, would overcome the art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Charles D. Adams/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2164